

**Question 2: What strategies can be used to overcome these challenges and enhance resource protection?**

<b>Participant comment</b>	<b>Participant-identified Category</b>	<b>Freshwater Category</b>	
Balancing time and cost	Funding? Who pays, how long	Address cost burden	
Define who maintains the improvements/SW BMPS			
Provide cost share for requirements that are more stringent than industry standards			
More incentive projects			Incentivize - alternatives to regulation
Establish a "cost cap" - similar to Cap. Region WD and RWMWD			Miscellaneous
Enable staff to approve a project			Process of review
Utilize a financial metric to help determine if a project is feasible. Perhaps determine a max. dollar amount a project must spend on stormwater BMPs			Simplification, commonality, standarize
Variance process accounting for cost to meet rules	Simplify - standards, rules, terminology		
Allow projects to move to alternative design earlier in the process and with less pointless design work	Alternative compliance solutions		
Development of an alternative compliance framework if it still provides resource protection			
Make flexible alternative designs easier and quicker			
Banking - getting credits	Banking/alternatives		
Look at what successful/established watersheds are doing			
Manage stormwater more like wetlands on a regional or offsite basis rather than always on the specific parcel			
Manage stormwater more like wetlands on a regional or offsite basis rather than always on the specific parcel			
Stormwater banking			
Utilizing more common strategies - not disparate solutions by watershed or city (like MIDS)			
Exceptional weather events and the reasonable response to adhering to rules			Citizen participation and transparency, elected officials
Transition period	Communication		
Look for opportunities for regional improvement	Funding? Who pays, how long		
Regional treatment with communities (regionally address redevelopment vs. site by site)	Incentivize - alternatives to regulation		
Allow cities and county to protect their groundwater and drinking water (limit infiltration) - DWSMA	Miscellaneous		
What happens if they cannot meet one of the rules?	Process of review		
Alternative designs	Reasonable solutions - design, rules		
Design			
Flexible volume alternatives	Reasonableness		
Adopt flexible options like Valley Branch to be able to respond to a variety of unique circumstances	Regulations		
Redevelopment vs. new development	Rethinking rules - for flexibility and purpose		
Adopting MIDS - flexibility in options			
Consider the feasibility of flexibility on case-by-case basis			
Figure out what rules are non-negotiable and what are more flexible/can be more site-specific? (minimal resource risk)			
Regional stormwater management practices			
Apply rules on a regional approach to make the best use of resources	Rules - who drafts them and why - \$\$		
Apply rules on a regional basis	Simplify - standards, rules, terminology		
Alternatives process (clearly defined)			
Flexible standards and design options			
Provide off ramps fro designs			
Variance process accounting for cost to meet rules			
For true citizen participation, make the WD manager positions elected rather than appointed	Citizen participation and transparency, elected officials	Amend governance structure	
Cities take permitting authority?	Incentivize - alternatives to regulation		
BCWD taxation authority might violate MN Constitution Art. 5, section 1	Process of review		
Rules - what protecting - cold water fishery, phosphorus reduction	Simplify - standards, rules, terminology	Clarify need for rules	
What specific resource? Define clearly			
Are we all solving the same problem?	What problem, why important?		
Clarity in need for rules			
Communication of watershed/state/district goal			
Define the problem	Banking/alternatives		
Identifying the problems within the watershed up-front in the rules. Statement of goals in the rules - what's impaired, what are the goals			
Level the playing field	Collaboration	Enhance collaboration	
Avoid the lectures and work with ideas!			
Collaboration between cities/watershed to work in concert on new development			
Pre-application meetings			
Proactive input from WD			
Team building with cities	Communication		
Annual partner meetings (but how to get partners to show up?)			
Build more public involvement in the process			
Keep the common goal in mind			
Monthly scheduled coffee with WD staff and city staff			
Non-adversarial process to arrive at common goal	Education and Outreach		
Pre-concept meetings with all regulators			
Have multiple meetings with WD depending upon # of submittals so issues/concerns/misunderstandings can be clarified and addressed early			
How to engage everyone in identifying what tools are needed to protect the resources	Education communication		
Need to establish a trust			
Better communication between WDs, cities, layers of governance	Establish common goal		
Meetings (on-site?) w/developer, owner, inspectors, WD, and city reps			
Pre-application meetings	Guidance		
Have the outcome be one that is agreed to by all parties			
Allow district staff to help the development community design a stormwater management plan that meets the rules			
Have more experienced staff work with applicants and proposed designs			
Openness and willingness to provide guidance			
Provide a list of pre-approved engineers	How to communicate - participation		
How to become involved? What mechanism is most effective?			
Pre-application meeting	Process clarity		
Big picture comprehension - all perspectives considered			
Development review chains	Process of review		
Redevelopment/pre-construction meetings			
Technical review communications with applications	Reasonable solutions - design, rules		
Checks and balances			
Checks and balances/civility			
Determine reasonable solutions	Reasonableness		
Compromise			
More reasonableness - everyone is working toward the goal, other take more time to react	Rules - who drafts them and why - \$\$		
Coordinated efforts between watershed, city, and county, and land owners			
Establish a well accepted goal			

Standardize layout of rules doc.	Communication	Improve accessibility of information	
Education	Education		
Education/awareness campaign for general public - links on websites, easy to understand handouts, watersheds write brief tips for city newsletters			
Guidance documents			
Something almost like "case law" for reference or a definitions provision			
When rule changes are proposed, include a summary of significant changes in plain language	Education and Outreach		
Education for local decision makers/city staff so they better understand the rules and can explain them to permit applicants			
Online self help			
Provide more education on water quality trends so people can see improvements			
U Tube	Education communication		
Education needs to be available for developers	Guidance		
Provide a list of pre-approved engineers	Process clarity		
Need easy to use and understand (step-by-step?) resources to walk people through the rules and app. Process			
Step by step process	Reasonable solutions - design, rules		
Off-the-shelf project plans that are scaleable			
Better highlights of changes	Regulations		
Align WD rules with MS4 General Permit provisions	Alternative compliance solutions	Increase consistency	
Utilizing more common strategies - not disparate solutions by watershed or city (like MIDS)	Banking/alternatives		
Standardize layout of rules doc.	Communication		
Adopt MIDS - more consistency, simpler, good enough	Miscellaneous		
Reduce/simplify rules	Reasonable solutions - design, rules		
Consistency - rules outstate vs. metro	Reasonableness		
MIDS for redevelopment			
Look to other districts for best practice	Simplification, commonality, standarize		
Standardize - use accepted programs like MIDS			
One watershed one plan - consistency, better resource utilization	Simplify - standards, rules, terminology		
Simplify the standards			
Implement simplified buffer standards	Simplification, commonality, standarize	Other	
Prioritized treatment	Simplify - standards, rules, terminology		
Implement simplified modeling requirements. MIDS	Banking/alternatives	Simplify modeling requirements	
MIDS for redevelopment	Reasonableness		
Adopt MIDS standards for WQ/volume	Simplify - standards, rules, terminology		
As a BCWD MGR, mine is hearing from applicant/developers the challenges since our new rules have been in place	Communication	Strengthen communication	
Better communication			
Better communication and explanation			
Better communication between WD, developer, engineers, and the cities. Not a transparent process			
Communication w/ LGUs, support at meetings			
Creation of communication plan/protocol			
Increase communication			
Increase communication			
Talk/listen			
Transparency			
Education			Education
Education/awareness campaign for general public - links on websites, easy to understand handouts, watersheds write brief tips for city newsletters			
More communication directly with residents			
Education for local decision makers/city staff so they better understand the rules and can explain them to permit applicants			Education and Outreach
Impacts to potential buyers (scary) of the deed and how the property was developed and needs to be maintained, the document they need to read and sign-off on.			
Better communication between WDs, cities, layers of governance	Education communication		
Communication gap between WD, agencies, and developers	How to communicate - participation		
Communication: review process involving set/required review meetings - concept, sketch plan, design development			
Context and clarity	Process clarity		
Better highlights of changes	Regulations		
Communication of watershed/state/district goal	What problem, why important?		