

## **ISSUE**

Location of protected wild animals and endangered or threatened species is not-public data "if disclosure is likely to:

- a. hinder management, propagation, or research;
- b. facilitate unfair chase or illegal taking, transport, or sale; or
- c. decrease the likelihood of establishing a protected wild animal or bringing an endangered, threatened, or special concern species to a point at which it is no longer endangered, threatened, or of special concern."

Minn. Stat. § 84.0872, subd. 2



The data at issue are "specific location data," which is that which "would enable persons to locate the" species.

So what can we say?

## DNR (only) can allow disclosure of data to promote public benefit by:

- a. aiding the environmental review process;
- b. aiding research, education, or conservation planning; or
- c. providing information to landowners about locations occurring on the landowners' property,

if provision of the information will promote protection of the resource.

Minn. Stat. § 84.0872, subd. 3

Notwithstanding these restrictions and requirements, BCWD can use the data and board may discuss these nonpublic data in an open meeting as long as the disclosure ...

"relates to a matter with the scope of the public body's authority and is reasonably necessary to conduct the business or agenda item before the public body."

• Not grounds to close the meeting. 13D.05, subd. 1(b)

## **UPSHOTS**

Why might such data need to be disclosed?

Limit disclosure to circumstances where disclosure is important to BCWD achieving resource-management goals.

Does the board concur in the need to disclose to property owners?

If yes, staff will work with DNR on disclosure plan.

